Fostering Student Success In Fully Online Courses

Cleveland State

Mrs. Sarah Rutland and Dr. Sandra Chincholkar

Departments of e-Learning and Mathematics and Statistics

As faculty, success in an in-person class does not necessary translate to success in a fully online course. After working together on MTH 148 Business Mathematics I for the last seven years, we present three recommendations to ensure optimization of student success. (1) Connect with e-Learning Resources (2) Consistently be Present and (3) Get to Know Your Students.

Introduction

Post Pandemic, the creation of and enrollment in fully online courses has skyrocketed. MTH 148 online increased 61.5% in class size between F17 and F23 and 55.2% between Sp17 and Sp24.

Pandemic	Annual Year	Undergraduate Programs	Graduate Programs	Number of Credit Hours				
Pre-Pandemic	AY 18-19	6	18	76,000				
Post-Pandemic	AY 23-24	7	36	106,773				
MTH 148 Fully Online Enrollment and Enrollment Percent Change								
Annual Y	ear FA	ALL SPI	RING SU	MMER				
AY 2016-20	17 NA	A *:	29	10				
AY 2017-20	18 2	6 24 (-:	17.4%) 18	(+80%)				
AY 2018-20	19 21 (-19	9.2%) 21 (-2	27.6%) 10	0 (0%)				
AY 2019-20	20 41 (+5	7.7%) 35 (+:	20.7%) 27 (+170%)				
AY 2020-20	21 57 (+11	.9.2%) 48 (+6	55.5%) 17	(+80%)				
AY 2021-20	22 55 (11	1.5%) 46 (+	58.6%) 26 (+160%)				
AY 2022-20	23 42 (+6)	1.5%) 44 (+5	52.7%) 31 (+210%)				
AY 2023-20	24 42 (+6	1.5%) 45 (+	55.2%) Pe	ending				
*First semester taught online								

Spring 2017, I took over MTH 148 online and my pass rate indicated that what I did in-person did not work online. MTH 148 online had a 18.10% lower pass rate when compared to my in-person MTH 148 class taught the same semester.

Spring 2017 Chincholkar						
In Dorcon	Pass Rate	73.30%				
In-Person	Enrollment	30				
Online	Pass Rate	55.20%				
Offline	Enrollment	29				
Pass Rate Difference 18.10%						



It turns out I am not alone. "Engagement in virtual environments is much different than in face-to-face classrooms. Faculty can't see how their students are reacting to the lecture or lesson.[1]

Three Main Recomendations

(1) Connect with e-Learning Resources:

e-Learning offers one-on-one consultations to evaluate your course and provide course relevant recommendations. They also provide online courses (a) Faculty Online Teaching and Design Course and (b) e-Learning Academic Integrity Grant Award Workshop where we worked on converting MTH 148's Blackboard to the CSU Course template and aligning MTH 148 with Quality Matters (QM) standards. I added lecture videos to supplement Pearsons mylabmath (MLM), assignment time estimator and "Suggestions from Successful Students". Next, I added layers of consistent presence.

HW 1 Orientation	45 minutes	
HW 2 (1.6)	2.5 hours	
Discussion Board	30 minutes	
HW 3 (2.1)	2 hours	

(2) Consistently be Present [2]:

Next, we worked on planning my instructor presence. We focused on a communication strategy and putting myself into the content. Specifically focusing on regular interactions.

Pick what works for you & plan it in

- Announcements
- Discussion board
- Reminder emails

Put yourself into the content

- Video or voice
- Your tone throughout

(3) Get to Know Your Students:

Finally, we worked on having the students get to know me by adding a "About Me Video" to introduce myself on a personal level. That was followed up with a questionnaire to learn their major, dream job, and workload (job and CSU). This allowed more personal conversations through-out the semester.



This work yielded a 47.5% reduction in the difference between my in-person and online pass rates. This occurred as my online class was increasing. See table for each semester comparison.



My Goals

- You feel like you have a community and support in MTH 148
- ▶ I help you the way you need, to succeed in MTH 148 and CSU
- You visit me when you make more money than me in a few years

Comparison of Pass Rates

Semester	In-Person Pass Rate	Online Pass Rate	Pass Rate Differences
Spring 2017	73.3%	55.2%	18.1%
Spring 2018	84%	70.83%	13.2%
Spring 2019	80%	66.7%	13.3%
Spring 2020	NA	71.4%*	
Spring 2021	67.9%	58.3%	9.6%
Spring 2022	79.1%	69.6%	9.5%

*denotes semesters where S/U grading was used and students earning a D could present as an S

e-Learning Support & Resources

e-Learning Support Contact:

Consultation Services:

Inttps://www.csuohio.edu/center-forelearning/consulting-services

Faculty Workshops:

https://www.csuohio.edu/center-forelearning/training

References:

[1] Burnt Out & Overburdened: The Faculty Experience 2022 -

https://alchemy.works/faculty-experience-

[2] https://www.unr.edu/digitallearning/online-learning/online-learningstandards-and-policies/regular-andsubstantive-interaction-(rsi)