

College of Graduate Studies

2020-2021 GRADUATE STUDENT AWARDS CALL FOR NOMINATIONS

AWARD WINNERS WILL BE RECOGNIZED IN AN AWARD CEREMONY TO BE HELD IN SPRING 2021

The College of Graduate Studies will recognize the achievements of graduate students within two categories listed below. Eligible students may enter <u>one or both</u> programs.

- I. Graduate Student Awards (See p. 1-6) Submissions due January 15, 2021 by 12:00 noon.
- II. Three Minute Thesis Awards (See p. 7-8) Entries due December 2, 2020 by 12:00 noon.

I. GRADUATE STUDENT AWARDS

PURPOSE

The College of Graduate Studies is pleased to announce a call for graduate student award nominations. The purpose of these awards is to recognize graduate student achievements in four areas: 1) research and creative scholarship, 2) thesis and dissertation, 3) teaching, and 4) engagement and social advocacy. Some of these areas are further divided into categories. In each category, there will be an Outstanding Achievement award and an Excellent Achievement award. The monetary prize associated with each Outstanding Achievement award is \$500 (five hundred dollars), and the monetary prize associated with each Excellent Achievement award is \$250 (two hundred fifty dollars). The nominee must:

\Box B	e a current CSU	graduate stud	dent or be no	more than	one year	past gradu	ation
----------	-----------------	---------------	---------------	-----------	----------	------------	-------

☐ Have a GPA of 3.0 or better and be in good academic standing at the time of nomination. An eligible graduate student may be nominated and considered for more than one award; provided, however, no nominee shall receive more than one award (except that a nominee may receive a 3MT (Three Minute Thesis) award in tandem with another award). See the 3MT (Three Minute Thesis) Competition section for information on the 3MT Awards.

Award winners are not eligible to apply for the same award in subsequent years. Award winners are also not eligible in subsequent years for a different award based on the same work.

RESEARCH AND CREATIVE SCHOLARSHIP AWARDS

Graduate Student Outstanding / Excellent Achievement Award

This award recognizes and rewards graduate students for sustained and substantial research or creative scholarship contributions in their field of study. This award is intended to reward substantial research or creative scholarship achievements for any work performed outside of a master's thesis or doctoral dissertation, including, but not limited to, a project, capstone project, non-graded work, or work completed outside or above and beyond core curricular classwork. Research or creative scholarship must have been conducted while a graduate student at Cleveland State University, and must not include work completed as part of a thesis or dissertation. Students seeking to apply for an award based on thesis or dissertation work should apply instead for the Thesis or Dissertation Award. Work that is part of a thesis or dissertation will not be considered for this award category.

One Outstanding Achievement award and one Excellent Achievement award will be granted to a doctoral or master's student in each of three categories:

Research in Natural Sciences (incl. Health Sciences), Engineering, and Mathematics Research in Law, Education, Social Sciences, Humanities, and Business Creative Scholarship (This category is only for those disciplines in which research is not the norm (e.g., exhibitions of visual art, publications of fiction)

THESIS AND DISSERTATION AWARDS

These awards are granted to graduate students for research that is being conducted for a master's thesis or doctoral dissertation which has the potential to make a substantial contribution to their field. Prior to the submission deadline for this award, the student must have successfully defended the thesis/dissertation proposal and must have on file with the College of Graduate Studies a *Master's Thesis* or *Dissertation Proposal Approval form* with the required signatures.

A total of eight awards will be granted as follows: One Outstanding Thesis/Dissertation Award and one Excellent Thesis/Dissertation Award will be granted for one master's thesis and one dissertation in each category below:

Research in Natural Sciences (incl. Health Sciences), Engineering, and Mathematics Research in Law, Education, Social Sciences, Humanities, and Business

TEACHING AWARDS

Graduate Student Teaching Award

These awards are granted to two graduate students who have demonstrated extraordinary performance in teaching as the instructor of record. The successful nominees will demonstrate the ability to facilitate student learning, the willingness and ability to solicit and reflect on feedback about teaching and implement changes for improvement, excellent knowledge and enthusiasm for course subject matter, and the ability to utilize a variety of teaching strategies appropriate to the course content.

Graduate Student Teaching Assistant Award

These awards are granted to two graduate students who have demonstrated excellence in assisting the course instructor of record as a course grader, course tutor, review session instructor, lab instructor, or in another supportive teaching role. The successful nominee will demonstrate the ability to facilitate student learning, the willingness and ability to solicit and reflect on feedback about teaching and implement changes for improvement, and excellent knowledge and enthusiasm for the course subject matter.

ENGAGEMENT AND SOCIAL ADVOCACY AWARDS

Graduate Student Engagement and Social Advocacy Award

This award will be granted to two graduate students who have demonstrated an exemplary commitment to helping disenfranchised and disempowered groups through community engagement and/or social justice advocacy. The nominee's commitment to engagement and/or social justice must be mutually beneficial to student learning, academic scholarship and community well-being, and be demonstrated through practice, research or professional service in their field.

GUIDELINES FOR NOMINATION SUBMISSION

Successful nominees must submit a clear and well-organized nomination package IN A SINGLE PDF DOCUMENT. Faculty reviewers will be from many different fields across the University, therefore the Project Summary or Teaching Statement should be written in plain English that is clear and unambiguous so that the proposal is understandable to readers completely outside of the discipline. Nonetheless, the intellectual underpinnings of the work should be clear. Nominees may submit nominations for more than one award; provided, however, that each nomination must be materially different and tailored to the particular award to which it is directed. Submitting identical nominations for more than one award may result in disqualification from one or all award categories. The format described below must be followed rigorously; non-compliance with the format or failure to submit all materials by the submission deadline may result in the disqualification of a nomination. All nomination materials must be sent via email in A SINGLE PDF ATTACHMENT to Shandra O'Dell at s.l.odell@csuohio.edu. Please put "GRADUATE STUDENT AWARD SUBMISSION" on the subject line in all capital letters. REMINDER: THERE IS NO NEED TO NOMINATE A GRADUATE STUDENT FOR THE 3MT AWARD BEYOND THEIR SUCCESSFUL PARTICIPATION IN THE 3MTCOMPETITION.

NOMINATION MATERIALS

Nomination Cover Page: (attached)

Nomination letter:

The nomination letter should be from the Research/Creative Scholarship Supervisor (for the Research and Creative Scholarship Awards, and the Thesis and Dissertation Awards), or the Program Director, Department Chair, or Faculty member (for the Teaching, as well as the Engagement and Social Advocacy Awards). If the person writing the nomination letter is not a CSU faculty member, that nomination should be accompanied by a letter of support from the Program Director, Department Chair, or CSU Faculty member familiar with the nominee's work. For teaching awards, at least one nomination letter should be from someone knowledgeable about your teaching (not necessarily your advisor).

Nomination letters should describe the significance of the nominee's contributions relevant to the award criteria. The letter should specify the nominee's substantive contributions to the final product (i.e., for Research/Creative Scholarship, Thesis and Dissertation, and Engagement/Social Advocacy), particularly if the work or achievement was co-authored or a group or team effort. It should also specify the nominee's unique contribution, the significance of the nominee's contribution, and the credentials of the nominee relative to the standards of his or her discipline. For the Research/Creative Scholarship and Thesis/Dissertation Awards, the nominator should comment on the stage of completion of the work, timeline for completion, and plan for dissemination of the findings. Note that for the Thesis and Dissertation Awards, the nominee must have successfully defended their proposal prior to the submission deadline for this award. For the Engagement/Social Advocacy Award, the nomination letter should attest to the relevance and rigor of the applicant's activity with a statement on the impact the work has made within the community.

Abbreviated Curriculum Vitae: 2-page maximum (one-inch margins, single spaced, 12 pt. font)

☐ If nominated for a teaching or teaching assistant award, the CV should list the courses you have taught.

Project Summary or Teaching Statement: 1-page maximum (one-inch margins, single spaced, 12 pt. font)

Teaching statement (teaching/teaching assistant awards only): For the teaching and teaching assistant awards, a one page teaching statement is required. This statement should not repeat the list of courses taught from your CV; it should instead give the committee insight into how you approach teaching and how this helps students learn. An effective teaching statement describes your philosophy toward teaching in general, and gives specific examples based on how you teach, your interactions with students, or your own experiences as a student. This should be an organized piece of writing similar to what you would submit when applying for jobs, and there are many online resources with ideas about what to include.

• Project summary (all other awards): This should include an abstract or summary of the research or creative scholarship, thesis or dissertation, or engagement and social advocacy activity for which the student is being nominated. For the research or creative scholarship award, the nominee must confirm the work is not part of a thesis or dissertation. For the engagement/social advocacy award, the nominee should demonstrate the impact of the activity and the ability to sustain or replicate it.

This should include an abstract or summary of the research or creative scholarship, thesis or dissertation, teaching, or engagement and social advocacy activity for which the student is being nominated. For the engagement/social advocacy award, the nominee should demonstrate the impact of the activity and the ability to sustain or replicate it.

Appendices:

Nominees must submit evidence of their accomplishments. Examples include: published articles, drafts, conference proceedings or presentations, and a thesis or dissertation. Other examples of evidence that may be included in this section: Impact factor and other evidence of journal quality for published articles, number of citations or downloads of published articles, evidence of peer review and acceptance rates for journal articles and conference presentations, evidence of awards and recognitions or grants earned, evidence of the significance of the contribution to the field and/or the impact on students (e.g., teaching evaluations, course improvements, letters of support, or evidence of innovation and creativity in research, creative scholarship, engagement or social advocacy, or in the classroom) or the community (e.g., letters from professionals or community members substantiating the nominee's contributions to engagement and social advocacy, or evidence of innovation and creativity).

Evaluation:

Please see evaluation forms to help inform and guide your submission. These forms will be completed by the Graduate Student Awards Committee members during review.



2020-2021 GRADUATE STUDENT AWARDS NOMINATION COVER PAGE

Name of Graduate Student		CSU ID
Degree Seeking Program Name		Degree (please check one)
		Master's Degree
College Name		Doctoral Degree
Address Line 1	Address Line 2	
City	State	Zip Code
Email Address	Phone Number	
Name of Nominator		
Research and Creative Scholarship Awar Research in Natural Sciences Research in Law, Education, Creative Scholarship		Business
Thesis Award in: Research in Natural Sciences Research in Law Education		
and Business	Social Sciences, Humanities,	If applicable, date of successful thesis defense:
and Business Dissertation Award in:	Social Sciences, Humanities, s, Engineering, and Mathematics	successful thesis defense: If applicable, date of
and Business Dissertation Award in: Research in Natural Sciences Research in Education, Social	Social Sciences, Humanities, s, Engineering, and Mathematics al Sciences, Humanities,	successful thesis defense:
and Business Dissertation Award in: Research in Natural Sciences Research in Education, Social and Business Other Award in: Teaching Award Teaching Assistant Award Engagement and Social Advances	Social Sciences, Humanities, s, Engineering, and Mathematics al Sciences, Humanities,	If applicable, date of successful dissertation defense NOTE: NO NOMINATION IS NECESSARY FOR THE
and Business Dissertation Award in: Research in Natural Sciences Research in Education, Social and Business Other Award in: Teaching Award Teaching Assistant Award	Social Sciences, Humanities, s, Engineering, and Mathematics al Sciences, Humanities, ocacy Award nination were conducted in accorda	If applicable, date of successful dissertation defense. NOTE: NO NOMINATION IS NECESSARY FOR THE 3-MT AWARD

Thesis and Dissertation Award Evaluation Form

(to be completed by Awards Committee)

Nominee Name:							
Reviewer Name:							
Rating Scale:	(low/inadequate) 1 2 3 4 5 (high/exempla	ry)					
Quality of Dissertation/Thesis	Work:						
Quality of objectives of the	sis or dissertation project						
Applied methods (this shou the research goals)	ıld include measures/methodologies that are sound/meet						
Implementation (ability to o of data analysis)	carry out research methods; appropriateness and quality						
	Analysis of findings (this should include conclusions & inferences that are reasonable given the findings, appropriate discussion of implications and limitations)						
Impact (significance and im	Impact (significance and importance of the findings/conclusions/achievement)						
Published or Submitted/Un	der Review Manuscript/Work?						
<u>Comments:</u>							
Other:							
specific to the thesis/disse	(e.g., GPA) and evidence of recognition and achievements rtation project (e.g., published or submitted/under ereed conference presentations, awards, grants, etc.)						
Nomination Letter(s)							
Comments:							
OVERALL EVALUATION							
	TOTAL RATING (out of 40)						

Research Award Evaluation Form

(to be completed by Awards Committee)

Nominee Name:					
Reviewer Name:					
Rating Scale:	(low/inadequate)	1 2 3	4 5	(high/exempla	ary)
Quality of Research:					
Quality of objectives of re	search				
Quality of: a.) project desi	gn, b.) analysis methods	s, c.) innovat	tion/imp	act of work	
Describe the relevant rese outside of a master's thes		_	m work į	performed	
Describe how the research	h helps contribute to the	e student's s	scholarly	development	
Describe the significance of	of the project/research	to the applic	cant's pa	rticular field	
Impact of the research wo	ork on related fields and	or potentia	l paradig	m shifts	
Comments:					
Other:					
Credentials of the student achievements specific to submitted/under review jawards, grants, etc.)	nominated research act	tivities (e.g.,	publishe	ed or	
Nomination Letter(s)					
Comments:					
OVERALL EVALUATION					
				TOTAL RATING (out of 40)	

Creative Scholarship Award Evaluation Form

(to be completed by Awards Committee)

Nominee Name:								
Reviewer Name:								
Rating Scale:	(low/inadequate)	1	2	3	4	5	(high/exempl	ary)
Quality of Research:								
Quality of objectives of creat	ive scholarship							
Quality of: a) project design, publications of fiction, etc., c end results or impact.) data collections, equipm	ent, r	nedi	a use	ed, et	tc., c	l) projected	
Describe the relevant research and/or include relevant information on performance, galleries, museums, events, judges, exhibitions, juried exhibitions, journals etc., that may contextualize the creative project's importance.								
Describe how and to what degree the project contributes to the development as a scholar and/or, artist, etc.								
Describe the significance of the project in the particular field, and to the arts or humanities in general								
Comments:								
Other:								
Credentials of the student an published journal articles, re exhibitions, commissions, an internships with art organiza groups, etc.	fereed conference present d published reviews of an	tation artist	ıs, av 's w	ward: ork).	s, gra Any	nts, reco	awards in ord of	
Nomination Letter(s)								
Comments:								
OVERALL EVALUATION								
							TOTAL RATING (out of 40)	

Teaching and Teaching Assistant Award Evaluation Form

(to be completed by Awards Committee)

Nominee Name:							
Reviewer Name:							
(Check One) Teaching Award Teaching Assistant Award	-						
Rating Scale: (low/inadequate) 1 2 3 4 5 (high/exempla	ry)						
Quality of Teaching:							
Teaching activities described in detail							
Willingness to solicit feedback, reflect on feedback and implement changes							
Knowledge and skills in subject matter							
Impact:							
Ability to impact student learning and interest in the topic							
Other:							
Credentials of the student and evidence of recognition and achievements (e.g., GPA, published works about teaching, refereed conference presentations about teaching, awards, grants, etc.) as evidenced in their CV and other supporting materials							
Nomination Letter(s)							
Comments:							
OVERALL EVALUATION							
TOTAL RATING (out of 35							

Appendices may include teaching evaluations, student supporting emails/letters or comments in evaluations, sample syllabi, sample materials such as PowerPoints, classroom activities, homework, etc., and supporting materials showing changes or improvements to courses over time.

Engagement and Social Advocacy Award Evaluation Form (to be completed by Awards Committee)

Nominee Name:						
Reviewer Name:						
Rating Scale:	(low/inadequate)	1 2	3 4	1 5	(high/exemplar	·y)
Commitment:						
Extent to which nominee of disempowered groups through (evidence may be through	ough community engage	ment an	d/or so			
Impact:						
Extent to which nominee's	s efforts benefit student	learning				
Extent to which nominee's efforts benefit scholarship (publication, presentation, etc.)						
Extent to which nominee's	efforts benefit commur	nity well-	being			
Other:						
Nomination Letter(s)						
Comments:						
OVERALL EVALUATION						
					TOTAL RATING (out of 30)	

II. 3MT (THREE MINUTE THESIS) AWARDS Coming Spring semester 2021



One Outstanding Achievement award and one Excellent Achievement award will be granted to the first and second place winners, respectively, in the Cleveland State University 3MT (Three Minute Thesis) Competition. Oral communication of a student's research to an educated layperson is often lacking in graduate programs. The University of Queensland developed a program where students summarize their research in 3 minutes or less using pre-determined guidelines. The format is one where students make a presentation in 3 minutes and a panel of judges scores each student to determine a final winner. Cleveland State University hosts a qualifying competition to decide which student will represent our university at the regional competition sponsored by the Midwestern Association of Graduate Schools. The Cleveland State University 3MT (Three Minute Thesis) Competition is held in February each year. The exact date will be announced by January. In addition, this is the only graduate student award that may be won in tandem with a second award.

There will be an Outstanding Achievement award and an Excellent Achievement award. The monetary prize associated with the Outstanding Achievement award is \$500 (five hundred dollars), and the monetary prize associated with the Excellent Achievement award is \$250 (two hundred fifty dollars).

Student must have a GPA of 3.0 or better and be in good academic standing at the time of competition

ENTRY: DUE in Spring Semester, date to be determined

To participate, eligible graduate students must e-mail Shandra O'Dell at <a href="style="style-type: style-type: s

3MT RULES:

- A single static PowerPoint slide is permitted (no slide transitions, animations or 'movement' of any description, the slide is to be presented from the beginning of the oration).
- No additional electronic media (e.g. sound and video files) are permitted.
- No additional props (e.g. costumes, musical instruments, laboratory equipment) are permitted.
- Presentations are limited to 3-minute maximum and competitors exceeding 3 minutes are disqualified.
- Presentations are to be spoken word (e.g. no poems, raps or songs).
- Presentations are to commence from the stage.
- Presentations are considered to have commenced when a presenter starts their presentation through movement or speech.
- The decision of the adjudicating panel is final.

3MT JUDGING CRITERIA:

1. Comprehension & Content

- Did the presentation provide an understanding of the background to the research question being addressed and its significance?
- Did the presentation clearly describe the key results of the research including conclusions and outcomes?
- Did the presentation follow a clear and logical sequence?
- Was the thesis topic, key results and research significance and outcomes communicated in language appropriate to a non-specialist audience?
- Did the speaker avoid scientific jargon, explain terminology and provide adequate background information to illustrate points?
- Did the presenter spend adequate time on each element of their presentation or did they elaborate for too long on one aspect or was the presentation rushed?

2. Engagement

- Did the oration make the audience want to know more?
- Was the presenter careful not to trivialize or generalize their research?
- Did the presenter convey enthusiasm for their research?
- Did the presenter capture and maintain their audience's attention?
- Did the speaker have sufficient stage presence, eye contact and vocal range; maintain a steady pace, and have a confident stance?
- Did the PowerPoint slide enhance the presentation was it clear, legible, and concise?

Visit www.ThreeMinuteThesis.org to learn more.